DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 257 814

SP 026 156

AUTHOR

Henderson, David L.; And Others

T I.TLE

Teacher Moonlighting and Attrition in Texas.

PUB DATE

[84]

NOTE

13p.

PUB TYPE

Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postag

DESCRIPTORS

Elementary Secondary Education; *Faculty Mobility; *Multiple Employment; *Public School Teachers; *Teacher Attitudes; *Teacher Salaries; Teacher

Shortage; *Teaching (Occupation)

IDENTIFIERS

*Texas

ABSTRACT

A report is given on the third in a series of biannual surveys of Texas public school teachers. A comparison is made between findings in 1984 and data collected in 1980 and 1982 from a sample of 500 teachers. Findings indicate that more than a fourth of Texas teachers moonlight during the academic year. Nearly 40 percent of the respondents were seriously considering leaving the profession, While salaries have increased since 1980, they are still insufficient and it is predicted that there will be a severe teacher shortage in the future. Major findings from the three Surveys are presented in a table and the questionnaire is appended. (JD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

Teacher Moonlighting and Attrition in Texas

David L. Henderson Cleborne D. Maddux Karen L. Henderson

US DEPARTMENT OF FOUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
LODICATIONAL BESOURCES INFORMATION

- CINISH (I RIC)

 The document has been reproduced as encover from the pursuit or organization scope into the
 - Missis shappes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of show or opinions stated in this docuneed do not my reseably impresent official NIE peoplem or pulsey.

TPERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

D.L. Henderson

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES $|_{4}$ INFORMATION CENTER (FRIC) $^{\prime\prime}$

2

David L. Henderson is an Associate Professor in the Division of Teacher Education at Sam Houston State University. He has an Ed.D. from Texas Tech University, M.Ed. and B.A. from West Texas State University. Dr. Henderson's teaching responsibilities include Educational Research, Computer Literacy, Educational Measurement, Teaching Methods, and Supervision of Student Teachers.

Cleborne D. Maddux is an Associate Professor at Texas Tech University in the Special Education Department. He has a Ph.D. and B.A. from the University of Arizona and a M.Ed. from Arizona State University. His teaching responsibilities include Special Education and Computers in Education.

Karen L. Henderson is a Lecturer in the Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences at Sam Houston State University. She has a M.Ed. from Sam Houston State University and a B.S. from West Texas State University. Her teaching includes Computer Literacy, College Algebra, Calculus, and Individualized Algebra Lab.

TEACHER MOONLIGHTING AND ATTRITION IN TEHAS

Abstract

As a continuation of studies in 1980 and 1982, 500 teachers were selected by a systematic sample from a population of 100,000 teachers who were members of the Texas State Teachers Association in 1984. The average Texas teacher is a female 41 years of age with 14 years of teaching experience; makes \$20,259; is married; has a working spouse; has a BS degree; is not the major bread winner in the family; teaches in an urban district; and teaches elementary school.

Approximately 40% of the teachers indicated that they were seriously considering leaving the teaching profession. Twenty-six percent of the teachers moonlight during the school year.

Teacher Moonlighting and Attrition in Texas

This is the third in a series of biannual surveys of Texas public school teachers. Data was collected in 1980 (Maddux, Henderson, and Darby, 1981) and 1982 (Henderson, Darby, and Maddux, 1982) to form a data base of information related to characteristics of Texas teachers.

The original study grew out of a subjective observation that Texas teachers were suffering economic hardship. These financial problems were forcing many teachers to "moonlight" and were causing morale problems. Figure 1 is the questionnaire used to gather the data.

insert Figure 1 about here

The sample of Texas teachers was selected each year using a computerized systematic sample from a population of 100,000, members of the Texas State Teachers Association. The 1980 questionnaires were mailed in May of 1980 to 416 teachers with returns by 291 respondents (70%). The average Texas teacher in the sample was a female who was 38,6 years of age, had 11.8 years of teaching experience, and earned \$14,113 per year. In order to earn an extra \$2799 income, 22% held moonlighting jobs

that they were seriously considering leaving teaching. About 75% of the teachers were married with 70% of their spouses working. Approximately two of three teachers had the B.A. degree. Of special significance was the fact that male teachers were scarce (only 20%) and about one-half of these were considering leaving the profession.

When the survey was repeated in 1982, the results were similar. Questionnaires were mailed to 491 teachers and were returned by 319 teachers (65%). The average salary of these respondents was now \$17,351 per year. Most of the characteristics were similar except there was a 6.8% increase in the numbers of teachers moonlighting.

The depressing economic picture for Texas teachers uncovered in the 1980 study was unchanged. More than one in three teachers were seriously considering leaving teaching and salary was the major reason. Nearly 30% were moonlighting in order to supplement their salaries. The teachers' salaries were only about 62% of the intermediate family budget as published by the U.S. Department of Labor.

And questionnaires were mailed to a sample of 474 TSTA members in 1984 with 332 returned (70%). Table 1 presents the major findings from the three biannual surveys.

insert Table 1 about here

A nation-wide study (Rosenfield, 1979) of multiple jobholding in 1978 showed that only 4.8% of all employed workers held more than one job. By contrast, 26.2% of Texas teachers held second jobs according to the 1984 study. The average salary was \$20,259 which represents a 16.8% increase over the 1982 figure and 82% of the national 1983 median family income of \$24,580 (U.S. Census Bureau). There appears to be an increase in the commitment by the state for teachers' salaries.

It appears that most moonlighting teachers perform their second job for very low pay since the average amount earned moonlighting was \$3615 per year by working an average of 14.4 hours per week. This amounts to \$6,97 per hour.

The percentage of respondents who are seriously considering leaving teaching has risen to the highest mark in the three studies (39.4%). These 132 individuals listed a total of 138 comments as reasons for considering leaving. Forty-one percent of these were financial. This figure was 50% in the 1982 survey, a decrease of nine percent. The second most frequent reason given was working conditions including stress, burnout, paperwork, and other hassles. This category increased from 24%

in 1982 to 31% in the 1984 study.

Many teachers included lenghty messages to the researchers.
Here are representative samples:

"Ilistorically, low teaching salaries were supplemented with respect. It may be that modern society tends to equate respect with status, and status with salary. No salary, no status, no respect."

"We tried to adopt children through a regular agency but were turned down because my salary was too low."

"My teaching job consists of late nights, second jobs, working weekends, and graduate school all summer."

"I have quit teaching and moved to industry after 21 years in the classroom. For the first time, I have no debts, a savings account, full medical coverage, a new car, cash to spend, and a cost-of-living raise."

Only 14.8% of the respondents were male versus the 19.7% who were male in 1982. This decrease in the percentage of males was consistent with the prediction made after the 1982 survey (Henderson, Darby, and Maddux, 1982) that male teachers and single teachers were in a particularly disadvantageous position and would be more likely to leave teaching than females. There are serious implications because many psychologists believe that a male teacher image in the elementary school is important to young boys. In Texas only six

percent of males are teachers in the elementary schools while the national average is three times larger.

A further breakdown of selected questions by sex of the respondent may help explain the increased dissatisfaction of males compared to females in the study. Because 65.3% of males are the major breadwinners compared to 35% of females, it is apparent why 51% of males moonlight compared to only 21.9% of females.

Discussion

A state of crisis in education exists in Texas. More than a fourth of Texas teachers moonlight during the academic year. Nearly 40% of the respondents are seriously considering leaving the profession. The most common reason is financial. Males continue to have a particularly difficult time as their numbers drastically decrease.

The series of studies have revealed both good and bad news' for the teachers, although the bad news seems to outweight the good. The good news is that salaries have increased by 40% since 1980. The bad news is that many teachers still moonlight and even more are considering leaving the teaching profession.

There appears to be no reason to revise the past prediction of a severe teacher shortage in the future. Unless society is

willing to pay the price tag for the kind of educational system it wants, increasing numbers of teachers will leave the profession or have their effectiveness blunted by the necessity to moonlight.



References

Henderson, D. L., Darby, C. E., & Maddux, C. E. (November, 1982). Moonlight, salary, and morale, <u>TSTA Advocate</u>. Vol. 2, No. 7, 8-9.

Maddux, C., Henderson, D., & Darby, C., A survey of Texas public school teachers. <u>Texas Teacher Education Forum</u>, 6 (1), 1981, 39-48.

Rosenfield, C., (February, 1979). Multiple jobholding holds steady in 1978. <u>Monthly Labor Review</u>, 59-61.

TEACHERS AND MOONLIGHTING

DIRECTIONS: Please circle or answer all items that apply to you. Add comments if you wish.

1. What is your age?	Years		
2 Sex	Male	Femalé	4
3. Marital Status	Married	Single	Other
4, If married, does your spouse work?	Yes	No	NA TO
5. What is your highest degree?	BA	•MEd '	PhD
6. Are you the major bread winner in your household?	Yes '	No	Equal
7. Are you seriously considering leaving the teaching profession?	Yes	'No	4
If yes, why are you considering leaving?			· · ·
8 In what type of district do you teach?	Urban	Suburban	Rural
9. What grade level do you primarily teach?	Ŕ-5	6-8	9-12
10. How many years have you taught in the public schools?	Years	i	
11. What is your current teaching salary per year?	\$	 	<u>.</u>
12. Do you have an extra job during the summer?	Ýes	رب No "	•
13. How much extra do you earn during the summer?	\$		None
14. Do you have an extra (moonlighting) job during the regular school	-	4	
year to supplement your teaching salary?	Yes	No	
	****		* * * * * *
If your answer to Question #14 is yes, please answer the following	questions.		
	*****		***
15. Do you feel that the quality of your teaching would improve if you	·- •	•	
did not have a second job during the regular school year?	Yes	No .	
16. How much extra money do you earn during the regular school year?	\$	•	• <u>•</u>
17 How many hours <u>per week</u> during the regular school year		i	
do you spend working at the <u>moonlighting</u> job?	Hours	·	·
18. Would you quit the second job if your teaching salary would	:		
enable you to give up moonlighting during the school year?	Yes	Nó	
19. How large a raise in your teaching salary would you have to get		<u>"</u> "o	
to enable you to give up moonlighting during the regular school year?	\$		
20 What is your <u>extra</u> job during the school year? (Please give a job		1	•
title such as bookkeeper, sales clerk, rancher, etc.)			
•	`		. `

Table 1. Survey of Texas public school teachers/in selected years.

Teacher Characteris	stics	7980	1982,	1984 -	
Average Teacher Salary		\$ 14,113	\$17,351	\$20,259	
Average Age		38.6	39.2	41.2	
Sex:	🔬 Male 🗋	19.9%	19.7%	14.8%.	
) Female	80.1%	80.3%	85.2 %	
Married		76.6%	74.9 %	75.3%	
Spouse Works	•	70.1%	, 69.6 %	66.3%	
Degree:	♥ BA	63.9%	62.5%	55.4%	
	MEd	35.7%	⇒ 37.2 % ·	44.0%	
	PhD	0.3%	0.3%	0.6 % ,	
Major Breadwinner	4	39.9%	39.8 %	39.5%	
Type of Districts:	Urban	40.5%	43.3%	42.8%	
•	Suburban	<i></i> 32.6 %	36.7%	38.9 %	
	Rural	26.8%	20.1%	18.4%	
Grade Taught:	k-5	50. 9%	49.8%	45.8 %	
	6-8	19.9%	. 19.7%	24.4 %	
%	9-12	29.2%	30.4%	29.8%	
Average Years Experie	ence.	. 11.8	12.1	13.7	
Consider Leaving Tead	ching	38.4%	37.3%	39.8%	
Extra Job in Summer	-	30.2%	36.4%	33.7%	
Average Summer Earn	ings	\$1252	\$2076	\$2205 •	
Moonlight During School Year		22.0%	28.8%	26.2%	
Avg. Hours Moonlighting Weekly		13.6	11.9	14.4	
Avg. Moonlighting Earnings		\$2799	\$ 3189	3615	
Belleves Moonlighting Detrimental		64.1%	68.5%	70.1%	
Like to Quit Moonlighting		75.0%	75.0%	81.6%	